

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,350	03/29/2004	Yongbin Yuan	1-23361	2687	
46582	7590 03/07/2005		EXAMINER		
	AN, SOBANSKI & TO		GRAHAM, MATTHEW C		
ONE MAKI 720 WATEI	TIME PLAZA - FOURT: R STREET	H FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TOLEDO,	OH 43604		3683		
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			19
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
• /	10/812,350	YUAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
\	Matthew C Graham	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum states of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum states of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi attutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on .		
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition	, 	ters, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrice.	re withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:			
Applicant may not request that any object		· ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	·	• • •	` '
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of form P10-152	<u>.</u> .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have beer nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P[*] 	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/812,350 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

- 1. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parker.

Parker shows a method of vehicle brake assemble comprising applying a liquid binder and a coating material to a brake show that is them applied to a brake rotor to fill in surface irregularities. The claimed invention differs from Parker only in the type of rotor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have utilized a drum brake rotor (and the associated pad) with the method shown by parker as a mere substitute of known equivalents for a variety of reasons dependent on the type of vehicle using the brake.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,350

Art Unit: 3683

Re- claims 2 and 3, Parker shows that the coating is liquefied during contact with

the rotor and thus it is applied first as broadly recited. The coating a liquid binder are

mixed and applied to the pad and thus satisfy the broad limitations recited in claim 3 as

well.

Re- claim 4, Parker shows rolling.

Re- claims 6-10, the particular materials and composition would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as mere choices of material and feature to

optimize performance.

Re- claims 11-13, the extent of the coating would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the as a mere choice dependent on the type of braking surface.

Re-claims 14 and 15, Parker shows a shoe and a rotor.

Re-claims 16-22, note the above discussion of claims 1-15.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Warren, Kesavan et al. '405, Gray, Hayes, Jr. and Bommier et

al. show methods of coating a braking surface.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Matthew

C Graham at telephone number 703-308-2570.

MATTHEW C. GRAHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINATER

Page 3

GROUP 310